### ORIGINAL



BARJ

1	Richard L. Sallquist	RECEIVE		
	SALLQUIST, DRUMMOND & O'CONNO	OR, P.C.		
2	4500 S. Lakeshore Drive, Suite 339	2007 APR -6 ₱ 1: 09		
_	Tempe, Arizona 85282	Last Hell O 1 1 O 1		
3	Telephone: (480) 839-5202	MIPSIMMON GOOD TH		
	Attorneys for Utility Source, L.L.C	AZ CORP COMMISSION HOSUMENT CONTROL		
4				
_	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION			
5	DI GYELL LA GEER OF THE			
	IN THE MATTER OF THE	)		
6	APPLICATION OF UTILITY SOURCE,	) WS-04235A-06-0303		
_	L.L.C. FOR A DETERMINIATION OF	)		
7	THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS	NOTICE OF FILING		
	UTILITY PROPERTY AND FOR AN	) SUPPLEMENTAL REJOINDER		
8	INCREASE IN ITS WATER AND	) TESTIMONY		
	WASTEWATER RATES AND	)		
9	CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICES	)		
10		.)		
10				
11	Utility Source, L.L.C. by and through undersigned counsel, hereby provides Notice of			
11				
12	Filing of the Supplemental Rejoinder Testimony of Lonnie McCleve regarding the customer			
12	a managed at the Manak 10, 2007 Bublic Con			
13	comments at the March 19, 2007 Public Comment meeting held in Flagstaff. The Company only			
13	received the Transcript of that meeting on March 20, 2007 and provides these responses thereto			
14	received the Transcript of that meeting on March 30, 2007 and provides these responses thereto.			
14	Respectfully submitted this day of April 2007.			
15	Respectionly submitted this day of April 2007.			
15		SALLQUIST, DRUMMOND & O'CONNOR, P.C.		
16		bridge of the control		
10		By \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
17		Richard L. Sallquist		
1,		4500 S. Lakeshore Drive, Suite 339		
18		Tempe, AZ 85282		
		Attorneys for Utility Source, L.L.C.		
19				
	Original and ten copies of the foregoing			
20	filed this day of April 2007,			
	with:			
21		Arizona Corporation Commission		
	Docket Control	DOCKETED		
22	Arizona Corporation Commission	DOCKLIES		
	1200 West Washington	APR -6 2007		
23	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	AFR 0 200		
		DOCKETED BY NO		
24		MR		
	36100.00000.186			

-1-

1	
2	A copy of the foregoing filed this day of April, 2007, to:
	unis ay or April, 2007, to.
3	Hearing Division
4	Arizona Corporation Commission
4	1200 W. Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007
5	Thomas, rinzona 05007
	Utilities Division
6	Arizona Corporation Commission
٦	1200 West Washington
7	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
8	  Legal Division
	Arizona Corporation Commission
9	1200 West Washington
10	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
10	Ponderosa Fire District
11	c/o Starr Lamphere, Board Chairman
	P.O. Box 16359
12	Bellemont, Arizona 86015
12	David Hitaaman
13	David Hitesman 4661 N Bellemont
14	Bellemont, Arizona 86015
15	Dennis Jones
16	11573 W Cove Crest
16	Bellemont, Arizona 86015
17	
18	
19	
17	
20	

36100.00000.186

1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	
2		
3	IN THE MATTER OF THE	DOCKET NO: WS-04235A-06-0303
4	APPLICATION OF UTILITY SOURCE, L.L.C, AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A	
5	DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT	
6	AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND	4
7	CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICE BASED THEREON.	
8	BASED THEREON.	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		ય
16	SUPPLEMENTAL REJOINDER TESTIMONY OF	
17	LONN	IE MCCLEVE
18	FILED APRIL 6, 2007	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		4
25		
26		

1	Q. Would you please your name and business address?	
2		
3	A. My name is Lonnie McCleve. My business address is 721 San Pedro	
4	Gilbert, Arizona 85234.	
5	Q. What is your relationship to Utility Source, LLC	
6	A. I am an owner and officer of the company.	
7	Q. Are you familiar with the subject Application, and have you filed	
8	testimony in this proceeding?	
10	A. I am familiar with the Application, but have not previously filed testimony	
11	in this matter.	
12	Q. Are you aware that the Commission held a Public Comment meeting on	
13 14	this Application in Flagstaff on March 19, 2007?	
15	A. Yes I am. I was not in attendance, although a Company representative was	
16	there during the entire meeting. I have had an opportunity to review the official	
17	transcript of that meeting.	
18	Q. Is it your opinion that based upon those un-sworn comments there is	
19 20	substantial misunderstanding of the Company's operations and its	
21		
22	relationship to the developer and homeowners association?	
23	A. I believe that to be true, and I also believe there were some misstatements of	
23 24	fact made by some of the individuals.	
25	Q. Let me ask about certain of the issues that I believe the Commission	
26	may be concerned with, roughly in the order they were raised at the meeting.	

First, was an allegation of unauthorized water use. Would you please speak to that allegation?

A. Yes. The Company is using water from its system for use in the Deep Well #4 drilling operation. The delivery point for that water is in the proximity of the mobile home park. This may have caused certain observers to believe that the mobile home park was receiving water through other than normal metered service. It is not. The water used for construction of a well is for the benefit of Utility Source and the water used will be appropriately accounted for by Utility Source.

- Q. There was also an allegation that certain family members or employees were not being charged for their utility services. Was that statement correct?
- A. Absolutely not. This is a false accusation. We are aware that this would be a sensitive issue both for the Commission and other customers and would never consider such a practice. All customers are charged and pay our tariffed charges for both water and sewer use.
- Q. Will you please briefly summarize your meter reading and billing procedures?
- A. Yes. Meters are read manually. Most meters are read every month. There may be anywhere from two to five meters that can not be read on certain months due to issues beyond our control, such as standing water over meter preventing visual read or vehicles being parked on top of meter boxes. You should be aware that every time we have rain or snow melt, it is possible that some of the meters boxes fill with mud or water so a read could be delayed. Those bills are then estimated in accordance with the Commission's

Rules and Regulations. When a homeowner calls about their bill we research the problem and thereafter call them. Sometimes it involves our on-site person. If there is a glitch in the computer, we call our computer representative. Depending on the question, we do not always determine the answers that day. We are not familiar with the particulars of all comments at the meeting, but one customer stated his bill was significantly over the normal bill. Our Office Manager had the on-site person re-read the meter and that customer was correct; the reading was off by 10,000 gallons. By his next bill it had been corrected. Another customer suggested we had mis-read his meter, but we re-read that meter and it was right on for his usage. Another customer who commented is a homeowner who moved in after a tenant left owing the Company, and a disconnect notice was put on the door. The new homeowner had not called to set up service. Therefore, we had no way of knowing someone new was living there. We just knew that water was being used. This too was corrected.

# Q. Others commented on the Company's responses to customer telephone inquiries. Will you please respond?

- A. Our toll free number is on each bill and customers regularly use it. When not in her office, our Office Manager transfers calls from work to her mobile and speaks with several homeowners a day, after hours (including 2:00 a.m.), and on weekends. We believe the vast majority of these calls are resolved satisfactorily. Our operations person lives on-site and is often aware of the problem and in the process of correcting it even before the Office Manager calls him.
- Q. There was also a question regarding water trucks hauling water offsite, and

#### whether or not those customers are being billed?

- A. There are currently two water haulers, Larry Wright Water Hauler, and Pilot. Pilot is only hauling water through the construction phase of their truck stop. When it is completed they will be tapped into our main line with a regular meter. Larry Wright mainly hauls to residential customers. Both haulers are charged the tariffed rate per 1,000 gallons used.
- Q. An additional misunderstanding appeared to be regarding the relationship between the Company, the developer of property, and that homeowners association, and possible misdealings among those parties. Would you please explain for the Commission the relationship of those parties and the dealings between among them?
- A. Utility Source, LLC, Greenfield Land Development and Flagstaff Meadows Property Owner's Association are separate entities and have been from their inception. Each has its own bylaws and operating agreement. Moreover, each entity has its own bank account for depositing revenues and paying bills. Income and expenses are accounted for separately for each of these entities on their own set of books. Utility Source possesses a bank account for depositing water and wastewater revenues. Bills associated with the operation of the utility company are paid exclusively through this account. Utility Source is a public service company and is obviously regulated by the Commission. It has been issued a Federal Identification Number by the Internal Revenue Service. It is required to file annual reports with both the Commission's Utility Division and the Arizona Department of Revenue. These report income and expenses as well as the Company's assets and liabilities. Like all utility companies, Utility Source LLC is

subject to close scrutiny by utility regulatory agencies. It is submitted that the Company just went through a microscopic review of all plant, revenues and expenses by the Commission Staff. No suggestion of any inappropriate transaction was made by Staff. Utility Source is also required to file annual corporate income tax returns, both state and federal. The utility company has a part time office manager that handles customer service matters. We have a monthly contract with an office assistant who is responsible for creating monthly billings based on the meter readings. A computer consultant provides regular hardware maintenance and supervises billing software functions. An independent accountant performs all accounting duties and is responsible for reporting compliance. Utility Source utilizes three separate Certified Public Accounting firms for tax filings, and regulatory execution. Utility Source contracts with firms that provide such services as water testing, wastewater testing, and sludge removal. We also contract with Contract Wastewater Operator (CWO), as the ADEQ certified Operator, and as the on-site operator for the water and wastewater treatment plants. CWO employs Jeremy McCaleb who assists with these responsibilities. Other tasks completed by Mr. McCaleb include monthly meter reading as well as being available to customers for service issues. Fortunately, he resides in Flagstaff Meadows and is on call 24 hours a day.

Greenfield Land Development is a partnership which possesses its own bank account for the purpose of depositing income received and the paying of bills associated with the operation of its development projects. Mary Ann Parry manages the day to day operations for Greenfield Land Development. Also engaged in the Company's operations is an independent accountant who administers all accounting functions. A Certified

Public Accountant prepares annual federal and state tax returns. Greenfield did initially develop the area, but has sold most of the property to third-party builders.

Flagstaff Meadows Property Owners Association is registered as a non-profit corporation with the Arizona Corporation Commission, and owned by the property owners. As with virtually all homeowners associations, it was formed by the developers, and remained in the developers control until the majority of the homes we sold. That has now occurred, and the control is being "transitioned" to the residents. The Association has its own bank account through which all dues collected are deposited. It pays for bills associated with operation through this bank account. Mary Ann Parry has been serving as the office manager for the Property Owners Association. Her responsibilities included, but were not limited to, creating invoices for dues, collection of funds, payables, and other secretarial duties are required by daily operation. Upon completion of the subdivision sales, and in accordance with the CC&R'S and Bylaws, a meeting was recently held to elect new board members who will be responsible for all the affairs of the Association. Unfortunately, very little interest was shown in those positions, but elections were held and seven members were elected to the new board. A meeting is scheduled for April 19, 2007 to further the implementation of the new board members duties and responsibilities and to plan for the New Year.

Q. It was indirectly suggested that either the Association or Utility Source might be paying for landscaping or other services of shareholders or shareholder's family members. Have any such services been provided at utility customer or association member expense?

A. Absolutely not. Because there are a limited number of service companies available in Bellemont, I cannot tell you that some of the Company's subcontractors have not done work for individuals within the community, but I can tell you that those services were not paid for by the Company or the Association.

## Q. One customer questioned water quality reports. Are those filed and available for customer review?

A. Yes. All required water quantity and quality have been filed with ADWR and ADEQ, respectively. The Company will be preparing a Consumer Confidence Report as required by ADEQ and that report will be distributed to all the customers. It will have all data in it. We do not recall being previously asked for these reports by any customer, the Company is doing everything required by the controlling regulatory agencies.

# Q. Are you aware of the suggested change in the associations CC&R's pertaining to rainwater?

A. No, to our knowledge the Association has never had a request to approve a system wherein rainwater was captured and to be reused for landscaping. This is clearly not a water company issue. I expect the POA would be open to that type of proposal. That proposal would be consistent with the policy of conservation which is incorporated in the CC&R's under Section4.3 A. As stated, the developers are in the process of turning over control of the property owners association to the new board, and if any such proposals were submitted, I expect they would be reviewed and decided by the new board.

## Q. Another subject raised at the Public Comment meeting has to do with water losses.

A. Yes. The Commission's engineering report in this proceeding indicates that the Company is in compliance with all ADEQ requirements and that the water laws is 5.8%, well within the acceptable limits.

- Q. The final question I have pertains to outages. Have there been frequent or extended outages of service to any portion of your system?
- A. No. We have been fortunate in that we have not experienced any such problems. We believe the system is well-designed, and it is all relatively new. Therefore, we would not anticipate any such problems. There certainly may have been short-term outages for normal maintenance or tapping of a main, but that would be in a relatively small area of the system and for a relatively short period of time.
- Q. Mr. McCleve, is it your opinion that the Company is providing adequate quantities and good quantities water to all customers within its system?
- A. Absolutely. We understand the customer's frustration with a proposed increase in the water and wastewater rates. We believe the Company has requested increases on the lower side of the range of reasonableness for the services it is providing. The Company's proposed rates are not dissimilar to other non-metropolitan private water companies in the state. We intend on continuing to provide the highest levels of service to our customers.
- Q. Mr. McCleve, you testified that you were not in attendance at the Public Comment meeting, but I understand the Company was not given an opportunity to respond to those comments at that time. Has the Company made any effort to respond to those individual comments?
- A. Yes, we thought it would be appropriate if we would provide a copy of this

Rejoinder Testimony to each of those individuals. I have had our staff mail a copy to each customer who identified him or herself at the meeting at the billing address we have for that individual. We are certainly hopeful of maintaining an open line of communication with our neighbors and customers, whether it be in the formality of a rate proceeding or on a day-to-day basis.

- Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- A. Yes, it does.